# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY SEAN S. WOODEN DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. WRITTEN OPINION SUITE 300 SOUTH WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006 (PCT Rule 66) Date of Mailing 02 NOV 2001 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference REPLY DUE within TWO months 5283.01 from the above date of mailing International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US00/25826 21 SEPTEMBER 2000 **21 SEPTEMBER 1999** International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): H04N 7/173 and US Cl.:725/31 Applicant DISCOVERY COMMUNICATIONS, INC. 1. This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion 11 Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application 3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. See the time limit indicated above. The applicane may; before the expiration of that time limit, request this When? Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Alse For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. 4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 21 JANUARY 2002 Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Authorized officer Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT CHRISTOPHER GRANT Washington, D.C. 20231 Telephone No. (703) 305-4 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (July 1998)\*

International application No.

PCT/US00/25826

I.	Basi	is of	the opinion							
1.	1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*									
				ion as originally file						
	느 .		escription:	on an oraginary						
Į	A		1-110				, as originally filed			
	-	_	NONE				, as originally med			
	-	_	NONE		filed with					
	P	,u.g.	·			dic icaci oi				
ſ	x t	he c	laims:				•			
•	p	age	111-140		··		, as originally filed			
	р	age	NONE_	·	, as amended	(together with any	statement) under Article 19			
	р	age	NONE_				_ , filed with the demand			
	p	age	NONE_	, filed w	ith the letter of					
,			_			,				
Į	X u	he d	rawings:				·			
	P	age	1-55				, as originally filed			
	-	_	NONE NONE		G1 1 :4 :4		, filed with the demand			
	P	age	NONE		, filed with the	letter of				
ſ	<b>₩</b>	ha e	equence listing part	of the decorintion						
L			NONE	or the description.			, as originally filed			
			NONE				, filed with the demand			
	D:	ages	NONE		, filed with the	letter of				
[]	] th	ne ta	nguage of publicati	on of the internation	nal application (	under Rule 48.3(b)	(under Rule 23.1(b)).  i)  mination (under Rules 55.2 and/			
<ol> <li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opin drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:</li> </ol>										
Γ	contained in the international application in printed form.									
				••	•	4-11 6 .				
Ĺ	m	led '	logether with the in	ternational applicati	on in computer	readable form.				
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.									
ſ	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.									
The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.										
[	T	he s			•	form is identical to	the writen sequence listing has			
4.[			•	esulted in the cance	llation of:		· ,			
	Г	X	the description, pa	none						
	Ē	前		MONT						
	F	=	the claims, Nos.				•			
	L	X	the drawings, she			•				
5.				wn as if (some of) the filed, as indicated in t			nce they have been considered to g			
			nt sheets which have b ion as "originally filed		eceiving Office in 1	response to an invitat	ion under Article 14 are referred to			

International application No.

PCT/US00/25826

statement							
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-189	Y				
	Claims	NONE	NO				
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims Claims	NONE	Y				
intensive surp (13)		1-189	N				
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-189	Y				
	Claims	NONE	N				
However, Hendricks fails to specifically disclosed Barney discloses various types of encryption between a central facility and terminals. See a corresponding text.	9-14, page 11. lines 3-11, figures 3 & 4); and crypting the encrypted electronic book (page 19, line 30 and figure 12, step 832).  ever, Hendricks fails to specifically disclose encrypting and decrypting keys as recited in the claims.  ey discloses various types of encryption and decryption keys and techniques for providing secure communication een a central facility and terminals. See the entire document including but not limited to figures 1-3 and the exponding text.  ould have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hendricks' system to include encryption and option keys, as taught by Barney, for the advantage of providing secure communication between a central facility and						
terminals.  Claims 2-129, 131-143 and 145-189 are met by the combined systems of Hendricks and Barney, wherein Hendricks and Claims 2-129, 131-143 and 145-189 are met by the combined systems of Hendricks and Barney, wherein Hendricks are discloses the details and various types of encryption/decryption techniques.							
WO 95 15649 A (HENDRICKS et al.) 08 Ju US 5,341,426 A (BARNEY et al.) 23 August	ne 1995, whole 1994, figures	e document I-3					

International application No.

PCT/US00/25826 ·

VI. Certain documents ci	ited						
1. Certain published docum	ents (Rule 70.10)						
Application No. Patent No.	Publication (day/month/		Filing Date (day/month/year)	I	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)		
US 6,052,717 A	18 APRIL 2	2000	23 OCTOBER 1	996			
US 6,034,680 A	07 MARCH	f 2000	30 APRIL 1997		25 APRIL 1997		
			•				
	•				•		
2. Non-written disclosures	s (Rule 70.9)			Dat	e of written disclosure		
Kind of non-written di	isclosure	Date of non- (day/n	written disclosure nonth/year)	referring	to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)		
	<del></del>						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
·							

International application No.

with ten of inton			PCT/US00/258	26,	
Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding	boxes is not suff	icient)			
Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII			s		
TIME LIMIT:  The time limit set for response to a Written Or received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Preliminary Examination Report.	pinion may not be e Written Opinion wil	xtended. 3 I not be co	7 CFR 1.484(d). nsidered in prepari	Any response ng the International	I
	•				